arXiv:1511.09232v1 [math.NT] 30 Nov 2015

On Problems about a generalization of the Brauer group

Makoto Sakagaito

School of Mathematics, Harish-Chandra Research Institute
Allahabad (India) 211019
E-mail address: sakagaito43@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we define a generalization of the Brauer groups by using Bloch's cycle complex on etale site. We prove the Gersten conjecture of generalized Brauer group on some cases. As an application we prove the Gersten conjecture of the logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology for an excellent two dimensional regular local ring which is smooth over a spectrum of a discrete valuation ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Moreover we consider a generalization of Artin's theorem on Brauer groups.

1 Introduction

Let X be a regular scheme and $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ the cohomological Brauer group $\mathrm{H}^2(X_{et}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Then $\mathrm{Br}(X)$ satisfies the following properties:

Property 1. **(i)** Let X be a regular local ring.

(i-a) Let l be an integer which is invertible in X. Then

$$\mathrm{Br}(X)_l = \mathrm{Ker}\left(\mathrm{Br}(X) \xrightarrow{\times l} \mathrm{Br}(X)\right) = \mathrm{H}^2_{et}(X, \mu_l)$$

where μ_l is the sheaf of l-th roots of units.

(i-b) Let $\mathrm{char}(X) = p > 0$. Then

$$\mathrm{Br}(X)_{p^r} = \mathrm{H}^1_{et}(X, \nu^n_r)$$

where ν^n_r is the logarithmic de Rham-Witt sheaf $\mathrm{W}\Omega^n_{r,\log}$.

(ii) (Purity) ([Ga], [Sh]) Let X be an equi-characteristic regular local ring and $Z \subset X$ a regular closed subscheme of codimension ≥ 2. Then

$$\mathrm{Br}(X) = \mathrm{Br}(X \setminus Z).$$

(iii) Let X be a Noether regular integral scheme and K the ring of rational functions on X. Let X^i the set of points x of codimension i and X_i the set of points x of dimension i. Let $\kappa(x)$ be the residue field of $x \in X$ and $K_{\bar{x}}$ the field of fractions of a strictly Henselian $\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Br}(X) \to \mathrm{Ker}\left(\mathrm{Br}(K) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} \prod_{x \in X^0} \mathrm{Br}(K_{\bar{x}})\right) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X_1} \mathrm{H}^1\left(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\right).$$

We can define a group $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{B}}(X)$ for an equi-dimensional scheme X by using etale motivic cohomology (see §3).

The group $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{B}}(X)$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{B}}(X) = \mathrm{H}^1(X_{et}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{B}}(X) = \mathrm{Br}(X)$$

for an essentially smooth scheme over a Dedekind ring X.

We can consider the following problems:

Problem 1. (i) Let X be a regular local ring.

(i-a) Let l be an integer which is prime to $\mathrm{char}(X)$. Then

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{B}}(X)_l = \mathrm{H}^i_{et}(X, \mu_l^{\otimes(i-1)}).$$

(i-b) Let $\mathrm{char}(X) = p > 0$. Then

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{B}}(X)_{p^r} = \mathrm{H}^1_{et}(X, \nu_r^{i-1}).$$

(ii) Let X be a regular local ring and $Z \subset X$ a regular closed subscheme of codimension ≥ 2. Then

$$\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{B}}(X) = \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{B}}(X \setminus Z).$$

(iii) Let notations be same as Property 1 (iii). Then the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{B}}(X) \to \mathrm{Ker}\left(\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{B}}(K) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} \prod_{x \in X^0} \mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{B}}(K_{\bar{x}})\right) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X_1} \mathrm{H}^{i-1}_{\mathrm{B}}(\kappa(x))$$

is exact.

We prove the following results in this paper.

THEOREM 1.1. **(i)** (Proposition 4.1) Let X be a local ring at a point x of an essentially smooth scheme over a Dedekind ring.

Then Problem 1 (i) is true for X.

(ii) (Proposition 4.4) Let X be a regular integral scheme with $\dim(X) = 1$.

Then Problem 1 (iii) is true for X.

(iii) (Theorem 4.2) Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be a Henselian discrete valuation ring. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be an integral regular scheme and proper and flat over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ with $\dim(\mathfrak{X}) = 2$.

Then Problem 1 (iii) is true for $\mathfrak{X}$.

THEOREM 1.2. Let B be a regular local ring with $\dim(B) \leq 1$. Let A be a regular local ring with $\dim(A) = 2$ and a localization of finite type over B.

(i) (Proposition 4.6) Suppose that A is a mixed-characteristic and $(l, \operatorname{char}(A)) = 1$. Then the sequence

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+1}\left(A_{et}, \mu_l^{\otimes n}\right) \to \mathrm{H}^{n+1}\left(k(A)_{et}, \mu_l^{\otimes n}\right) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^n\left(\kappa(\mathfrak{p})_{et}, \mu_l^{\otimes n-1}\right)$$

is exact.

(ii) (Theorem 4.1) Suppose that B is an excellent ring and $\operatorname{char}(B) = p > 0$. Suppose that A is smooth over B. Then the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(A_{et}, \nu_r^n) \to \operatorname{Ker}\Big(\mathrm{H}^1(k(A)_{et}, \nu_r^n) \to \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^1\left(k(A_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})_{et}, \nu_r^n\right)\Big)$$
$$\to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A_{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(\mathfrak{p})_{et}, \nu_r^{n-1})$$

is exact.

The following result is a generalization of Artin's theorem ([Gr, p.98, Theorem (3.1)]).

PROPOSITION 1.1. (Proposition 4.8) Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be an equi-characteristic excellent Henselian discrete valuation ring. Let $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ be a proper and smooth morphism and Y the closed fiber of π. Suppose that $\dim(\mathfrak{X}) = 2$.

Then we can define a morphism

$$\mathrm{H}^n_B(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathrm{H}^n_B(Y)$$

and this morphism is a surjective.

2 Notations

For a scheme X, X_{et} and X_{Zar} denote the category of etale schemes over X equipped with the etale and Zariski topology, respectively. X^i denotes the set of points of codimension i and X_i denotes the set of points of dimension i.

For $t \in \{\mathrm{et}, \mathrm{Zar}\}$, $\mathbb{S}_{X_t}$ denotes the category of sheaves on X_t.

3 Definition of $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{B}}(X)$

Let $D_i = \mathbb{Z}[t_0, \cdots, t_i]/(\sum_i t_i - 1)$, and $\Delta_i = \operatorname{Spec} D_i$ be the algebraic i-simplex. For an equidimensional scheme X, let $z^n(X, i)$ be the free abelian group on closed integral subschemes of codimension n of $X \times \Delta^i$, which intersect all faces property. Intersecting with faces defines the structure of a simplicial abelian group, and hence gives a (homological) complex $z^n(X, *)$.

The complex of sheaves $\mathbb{Z}(n)_t$ on the site X_t, where $t \in \{et, Zar\}$, is defined as the cohomological complex with $z^n(-, 2n-i)$ in degree i. For smooth of finite type over a field or Dedekind ring, there is a quasi-isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}(1) \simeq \mathbb{G}_m[-1]$. For an abelian group A we define $A(n)$ to be $\mathbb{Z}(n) \otimes A$. For l be an integer which is invertible in X, there is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes of etale sheaves $\mathbb{Z}/l(n)_{et} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_l^{\otimes n}$ by [Ge, p.774, Theorem 1.2.4] and [V2].

Let Γ_t be the global sections functor from $\mathbb{S}_{X_t}$. Then the right derived functor $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_t$ exists on the derived category $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{S}_{X_t})$. The (etale) motivic cohomology is defined as

$$\mathrm{H}^i_t(X, A(n)) = \mathrm{H}^i \mathbf{R}\Gamma_t(A(n)).$$

If $t = Zar$ or $A(n)$ is isomorphic to a bounded below complex, then the hyper-derived functors $\mathbb{R}^i\Gamma_t(A(n))$ are the cohomology of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_t(A(n))$:

$$\mathbb{R}^i\Gamma_t(A(n)) = \mathrm{H}^i \mathbf{R}\Gamma_t(A(n))$$

for all i.

Definition 1. Let X be an equi-dimensional scheme. Then we define $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{B}}(X)$ as

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathrm{B}}(X) = \mathrm{H}^{i+1}_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}(i-1))_{tor}.$$

Remark 1. In general, $\mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ is not torsion group. For example, by the relation $\mathrm{H}^i_{et}(\mathbb{P}^m_K, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m} \mathrm{H}^{i-2j}_{et}(\operatorname{Spec} K, \mathbb{Z}(n-j))$,

$$\mathrm{H}^4_{et}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{P}^2_K, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = \mathrm{H}^0_{et}(\operatorname{Spec} K, \mathbb{Z}(0)) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

So $\mathrm{H}^4_{et}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{P}^2_K, \mathbb{Z}(2))$ is not torsion group.

4 Results

Proposition 4.1. Let X be a local ring at a point x of an essentially smooth scheme over a Dedekind ring. Then Problem 1 (i) is true.

Proof. $\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}(n)_{Zar})_x = \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{Zar}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ by [Ge, p.779, Theorem 3.2 (b)]. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{Zar}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))$ by [Ge, p.774, Theorem 1.2] and [V2]. Therefore $\mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = 0$ by [Ge, p.786, Corollary 4.4]. So the statement follows from a distiguished triangle

$$\cdots \to \mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} \xrightarrow{\times l} \mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} \to \mathbb{Z}/l(n)_{et} \to \cdots . \tag{1}$$

□

LEMMA 4.1. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a left exact functor between abelian categories and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Grothendieck category. Then

$$\mathbf{R}^{n+1}F(\tau_{\leq n}A^\bullet) = \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}F(A^\bullet) \to F(\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(A^\bullet))\Big)$$

for any complex $A^\bullet$.

Proof. If $B^\bullet$ is bounded below, there is a convergent spectral sequence for the hyper-cohomology with

$$R^pF(\mathcal{H}^q(B^\bullet)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+q}F(B^\bullet).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}^nF(\tau_{\geq n+1}A^\bullet) &= \mathbb{R}^nF(\tau_{\geq n+1}A^\bullet) = 0,\\ \mathbf{R}^{n+1}F(\tau_{\geq n+1}A^\bullet) &= \mathbb{R}^{n+1}F(\tau_{\geq n+1}A^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(A^\bullet))\end{aligned}$$

for any complex $A^\bullet$. By a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \to \tau_{\leq n}A^\bullet \to A^\bullet \to \tau_{\geq n+1}A^\bullet \to \cdots ,$$

we have a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \to \mathbf{R}F(\tau_{\leq n}A^\bullet) \to \mathbf{R}F(A^\bullet) \to \mathbf{R}F(\tau_{\geq n+1}A^\bullet) \to \cdots .$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{R}^{n+1}F(\tau_{\leq n}A^\bullet) = \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}F(A^\bullet) \to F(\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(A^\bullet))\Big).$$

□

Remark 2. Let $A^\bullet$ be a bounded below complex. Since the edge maps of spectral sequence are natural maps, the morphism

$$\mathbf{R}^{n+1}F(A^\bullet) \to \mathbf{R}^{n+1}F(\tau_{\geq n+1}A^\bullet)$$

corresponds to the edge map of $R^pF(\mathcal{H}^q(A^\bullet)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+q}F(A^\bullet)$.

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let B be a regular local ring with $\dim(B) \leq 1$, $\mathfrak{X}$ an essentially of finite type scheme over $\operatorname{Spec} B$ and $i : Y \to \mathfrak{X}$ a closed subscheme of codimension c with open complement $j : X \to \mathfrak{X}$.

Suppose that X is an essentially smooth over a regular ring of dimension at most one. Then we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\tau_{\leq n+2}\Big(\mathbb{Z}(n-c)_{et}[-2c]\Big) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq n+2}\mathbf{R}i^{!}\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} \tag{2}$$

and a quasi-isomorphism

$$\tau_{\leq n+1}\Big(\mathbb{Z}/l(n-c)_{et}[-2c]\Big) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbf{R}i^{!}\mathbb{Z}/l(n)_{et} \tag{3}$$

for any positive integer l.

Moreover, if $\mathbf{R}^i j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{Zar} = 0$ for $i \geq n+2$,we have a distiguished triangle

$$\cdots \to i_*\mathbb{Z}(n-c)_{et}[-2c] \to \mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} \to \tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} \to \cdots \tag{4}$$

Proof. We have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\tau_{\leq n+1}\epsilon^*\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{Zar} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq n+1}\epsilon^*\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} \tag{5}$$

by [Ge, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2] (cf. [Ge, p.787]). Since

$$\mathbf{R}^{n+2}j_*\left(\tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et}\right) \to \mathbf{R}^{n+2}j_*\left(\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et}\right)$$

is an injective, the composite map

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^*\mathbf{R}^{n+2}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{Zar} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \epsilon^*\mathbf{R}^{n+2}j_*\left(\tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et}\right)\\ \hookrightarrow &\epsilon^*\mathbf{R}^{n+2}j_*\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}^{n+2}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et}\end{aligned}$$

is an injective. Moreover we have the map of distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\epsilon^*\mathbb{Z}(n-c)_{Zar}[-2c] & \longrightarrow & \epsilon^*i^*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{Zar} & \longrightarrow & \epsilon^*i^*\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{Zar} \\
\downarrow & & \| & & \downarrow \\
\mathbf{R}i^{!}\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} & \longrightarrow & i^*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} & \longrightarrow & i^*\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et}.
\end{array}$$

Therefore we have the quasi-isomorphism (2) by the five-lemma. Applying ϵ^* to the distinguished triangle of [Ge, p.780, Corollary 3.3 (a)], we get a distinguished triangle

$$i_*\mathbb{Z}(n-c)_{et}[-2c] \to \mathbb{Z}(n)_{et} \to \epsilon_*\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{Zar}.$$

Hence we have the distinguished triangle (4) by the quasi-isomorphism (5). The quasi-isomorphism (3) follows from the quasi-isomorphism (2).

□

Remark 3. If B is a Dedekind ring and i is the inclusion of one of the closed fibers, we have the quasi-isomorphisms (2) and (3) as [Ge, p.774, Theorem 1.2.1].

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let $\mathfrak{X}$, Y and X be same as above. Suppose that $c = 1$. Then we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\tau_{\leq n+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\mathfrak{X}}_{Zar}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau_{\leq n+1}\left(\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\mathbb{Z}(n)^{\mathfrak{X}}_{et}\right) \tag{6}$$

in the following cases:

(i) $\mathfrak{X}$ is a reduced scheme and finite type over a field with $\dim(X) = 1$,

(ii) $\mathfrak{X}$ is a regular scheme with $\dim(\mathfrak{X}) = 2$.

Proof. We can prove the statement as [Ge, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2]. It is sufficient to show that

$$\tau_{\leq n+2}\left(i_*\mathbb{Z}(n-1)[-2]_{Zar}\right) \to \tau_{\leq n+2}\left(\mathbf{R}\epsilon_* i_* \mathbf{R}i^!\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et}\right)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

We have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\tau_{\leq n} i_*\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{et}\Big)[-2] \simeq \tau_{\leq n+2}\Big(i_*\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{et}[-2]\Big)\\
\simeq&\tau_{\leq n+2}\Big(i_*\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\left(\tau_{\leq n+2}\Big(\mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{et}[-2]\Big)\right)\Big)\\
\xrightarrow{\sim}&\tau_{\leq n+2}\Big(i_*\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\Big(\tau_{\leq n+2}\Big(\mathbf{R}i^!\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et}\Big)\Big)\Big) \simeq \tau_{\leq n+2}\Big(i_*\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\mathbf{R}i^!\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.2.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{X}$ satisfies the condition (i). Then we can choose X, Y as satisfying the condition of Proposition 4.2. Hence we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\tau_{\leq n+2}\Big(i_*\mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{Zar}[-2]\Big) \simeq \Big(\tau_{\leq n} i_*\mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{Zar}\Big)[-2] \tag{7}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\sim}\Big(\tau_{\leq n} i_*\mathbf{R}\epsilon_*\mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{et}\Big)[-2] \tag{8}$$

by [Ge, Theorem 1.2.2]. Therefore the statement follows if $\mathfrak{X}$ satisfies the condition (i). □

Before we prove that Problem (iii) is true for some cases, we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. Let B be a regular ring with $\dim(B) \leq 1$ and X an essentially of finite type over Spec B. Let $i : Y \to X$ be a closed subscheme of codimension 1 with open complement $j : U \to X$. Suppose that U is a regular scheme with $\dim(U) \leq 1$.

Then we have

$$\mathbf{R}^{n+2}j_*\left(\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et}\right)_{\bar{x}} = \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_B\left(U \times_X \operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}\right)$$

for $x \in X$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Z}/l(n)^U_{et}$ is bounded below, we have a spectral sequence

$$\mathbf{R}^p j_* \left(\mathcal{H}^q(\mathbb{Z}/l(n)_{et})\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{p+q} j_* \mathbb{Z}/l(n).$$

Moreover Bloch's cycle complex $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ commutes with direct limits (of localizations), so $\mathbb{Z}/l(n)_{et}$ does.

Hence we have

$$\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+1} j_* \mathbb{Z}/l(n)_{et}\right)_{\bar{x}} = \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}\left(U \times_X \mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}, \mathbb{Z}/l(n)\right)$$

by [M, p.88, III, Lemma 1.16]. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}^{n+1} j_* \left(\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et}\right)_{\bar{x}} &= \left(\epsilon^* \mathbf{R}^{n+1} j_* \mathbb{Z}(n)_{Zar}\right)_{\bar{x}} = \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{Zar}\left(U \times_X \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \\ &= \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}\left(U \times_X \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the statement follows. □

Proposition 4.4. Let X be a regular integral scheme with $\dim(X)=1$. Then Problem 1 (ii) is true for X.

Proof. We have

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}\left(\operatorname{Spec} k(X), \mathbb{Z}(n-1)\right)_l = \varinjlim_U \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}\left(U, \mathbb{Z}(n-1)\right)_l.$$

by a distinguished triangle (1). Moreover the natural homomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^n_B(X) \to \mathrm{H}^n_B(U)$$

is an injective. Therefore

$$\mathrm{H}^n_B(X) \to \mathrm{H}^n_B\left(k(X)\right)$$

is an injective.

For each $x \in X$, we choose a geometric point $u_x : \bar{x} \to X$. Then $F \to \prod_{x \in X} (u_x)_*(u_x)^* F$ is an injective for a sheaf on X_{et} ([M, p.90, III, Remark 1.20 (c)]). So

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(X, \tau_{\leq n+1} \mathbf{R} j_* \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \\ =& \operatorname{Ker}\Big(\mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(U, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \to \prod_{x \in X} \left(\mathbf{R}^{n+2} j_* \mathbb{Z}(n)\right)_{\bar{x}}\Big) \\ =& \operatorname{Ker}\Big(\mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(U, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \to \prod_{x \in X} \mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(k(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}), \mathbb{Z}(n)\right)\Big)\end{aligned}$$

for any open immersion $j : U \to X$. Therefore the statement follows.

□

Remark 4. Let $E_2^{p,q} \Rightarrow E^n$ be a spectaral sequence. Suppose that $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $p < 0$ or $q < 0$. Then we can define the morphism

$$\mathrm{Ker}\left(E^n \to E^{0,n}\right) \simeq E_1^n \twoheadrightarrow E_1^n/E_2^n \simeq E_\infty^{1,n-1} \hookrightarrow E_2^{1,n-1}. \tag{9}$$

Moreover, the morphism (9) is an isomorphism if $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $q \geq 2$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Ker}\Big(\mathrm{H}_{et}^{n+1}(\mathrm{Spec}\, K, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \to \mathrm{H}_{et}^{n+1}(\mathrm{Spec}\, K_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n))\Big) \\
=&\,\mathrm{H}_{et}^1\left(\mathrm{Spec}\, A, \mathbf{R}^n j_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)\right) = \mathrm{H}_{et}^1\left(\mathrm{Spec}\, A, i_* i^* \mathbf{R}^n j_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)\right) \\
=&\,\mathrm{H}^1\left(\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}/K), \mathrm{H}^n(\mathrm{Spec}\, K_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n))\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $\mathrm{ch}(k) = p > 0$ and $n > 0$.

Proposition 4.5. Let B be a regular local ring with $\dim(B) \leq 1$. Let $\mathrm{Spec}\, A$ be a regular local scheme with $\dim(A) = 2$ and localization of finite type over $\mathrm{Spec}\, B$.

Then Problem 1 (iii) is true for $\mathrm{Spec}\, A$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Spec}\, A/(f) \to \mathrm{Spec}\, A$ be a regular closed subscheme of codimension 1. Then the morphism

$$\mathrm{H}_{et}^{n+2}\left(A, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \to \mathrm{H}_{et}^{n+2}\left(A_f, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right)$$

is an injective. Hence

$$\mathrm{H}_{et}^{n+2}\left(A, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \to \mathrm{H}_{et}^{n+2}\left(k(A), \mathbb{Z}(n)\right)$$

is an injective.

Let $\mathrm{Spec}\, A/\mathfrak{m} \to \mathrm{Spec}\, A$ be the closed point with open complement $j : U \to X$.

Then the morphism

$$\mathrm{H}_{et}^{n+2}\left(A, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \to \mathrm{H}_{et}^{n+2}\left(A, \tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)\right)$$

is a surjective. Moreover we have

$$\mathrm{H}_{et}^{n+2}\left(A, \tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)\right) = \mathrm{Ker}\big(\mathrm{H}_{et}^{n+2}(U, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to \Gamma(X, \mathbf{R}^{n+2}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)_{et})\big)$$

Therefore statement follows. □

Lemma 4.3. Let A be same as above. Suppose that B be a discrete valuation ring with mixed-characteristic. Let l be a positive integer such that $(l, \mathrm{char}(A)) = 1$.

Then we have

$$\mathbb{Z}/l(n)_{et} \simeq \mu_l^{\otimes n}.$$

Proof. We have the quasi-isomorphism

$$\tau_{\leq n+1}\Big(\mathbb{Z}/l(n)\Big) \simeq \tau_{\leq n+1}\Big(\mu_l^{\otimes n}\Big)$$

by Proposition 4.2 and the absolute purity theorem ([Ga]). Moreover

$$\mathcal{H}^i\left(\mathbb{Z}(n)_{Zar}\right) = 0$$

for $i \geq n+2$, so we have $\mathcal{H}^{n+2}\left(\mathbb{Z}/l(n)_{et}\right) = 0$. Therefore the statement follows. □

Proposition 4.6. Let notations be same as Lemma 4.3. Then the sequence

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+1}\left(A_{et}, \mu_l^{\otimes n}\right) \to \mathrm{H}^{n+1}\left(k(A)_{et}, \mu_l^{\otimes n}\right) \to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in \operatorname{Spec} A_{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^n\left(\kappa(\mathfrak{p})_{et}, \mu_l^{\otimes n-1}\right) \quad (10)$$

is exact.

Proof. Let $i : \operatorname{Spec} A/(f) \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ be a regular closed subscheme of codimension 1. Let $j : \operatorname{Spec} A_f \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ be the open complement of i. Then $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}j_*\mu_l^{\otimes n} = 0$ for $n+1 \neq 1$ by the absolute purity theorem ([Ga]). Hence $\mathrm{H}^{n+1}(A_{et}, \tau_{\leq n}\mathbf{R}j_*\mu_l^n) = \mathrm{H}^{n+1}((A_f)_{et}, \mu_l^{\otimes n})$, so we have

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(A, \tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)\right)_l = \mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(A_f, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right)_l$$

for $n+1 \neq 1$. Therefore the statement follows.

□

Remark 5. The sequence (10) is exact and the first map in the sequence (10) is an injective in the case that A is an equi-characteristic regular local ring (even when $\dim(A) \neq 2$) by [P, Theorem C].

Lemma 4.4. Let B be a regular local ring which satisfies $\dim(A) \leq 1$ and $\mathrm{char}(A) = p > 0$. Let X be an essentially smooth scheme over $\operatorname{Spec} B$. Then

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{Zar} \simeq \nu_r^n[-n]. \quad (11)$$

Here ν_r^n is the logarithmic de Rham-Witt sheaf $\mathrm{W}\Omega^n_{r,\log}$.

Proof. Let k be a field with $\mathrm{char}(k) = p > 0$. By the theorem of Popescu,

$$k = \varinjlim_i A_i$$

where A_i is a localization of smooth schemes over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{H}^j_{Zar}(k, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = \varinjlim_i \mathrm{H}^j_{Zar}(A_i, \mathbb{Z}(n))$$

for any integer j by [K, Lemma 1.0.8] and [V1, Corollary 2]. Hence we have

$$\mathrm{H}^j_{Zar}(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = \varinjlim_i \mathrm{H}^j_{Zar}(A_i, \mathbb{Z}/p^r).$$

Therefore we have the quasi-isomorphism (11) in the case where X is a spectrum of field by [Ge-L, Theorem 8.5]. The statement follows from [Ge, p.774, Theorem 1.2] and [Sh, p.608, Theorem 5.2]. □

Remark 6. Let $\{A_\lambda; f_{\mu\lambda}\}$ be a direct system of rings. Suppose that $\varinjlim_\lambda A_\lambda = A$ is a Noether ring and $f_{\mu\lambda}$ are flat. Then

$$\varinjlim_\lambda z^n(A_\lambda, *) = z^n(A, *).$$

THEOREM 4.1. Let A be the same as Lemma 4.4. Suppose that A is an excellent ring and $\mathrm{char}(A) = p > 0$. Then the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(A_{et}, \nu_r^n) \to \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\mathrm{H}^1(k(A)_{et}, \nu_r^n) \to \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Spec}\, A_{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^1\left(k(A_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}})_{et}, \nu_r^n\right)\Big)$$
$$\to \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Spec}\, A_{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(\mathfrak{p})_{et}, \nu_r^{n-1})$$

is exact.

Proof. We have

$$\mathrm{H}_B^{n+1}(A)_{p^r} = \mathrm{H}^1\left(A_{et}, \mathrm{W}\Omega^n_{r,\log}\right)$$

by Lemma 4.4. Then the morphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}\left(A, \tau_{\le n}\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)\right) \to \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}\left(A, \mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)\right)$$

is an isomorphiosm by the theorem of Gros-Suwa-Shiho [Sh, p.583, Theorem 3.2] and the quasi-isomorphism (3). Therefore the morphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(A, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \to \mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(A, \mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)\right) = \mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(U, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right)$$

is a surjective. The statement follows from Proposition 4.4. □

PROPOSITION 4.7. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be a Henselian discrete valuation ring with residue field F and the quotient field K.

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a connected regular scheme and proper over $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_K$ with $\dim(\mathfrak{X}) = 2$, $X = \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ and $Y = \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} F$.

Then the morphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{Zar}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \to \mathrm{H}^n_{Zar}\left(Y, \mathbb{Z}(n-1)\right) \tag{12}$$

is a surjective.

Proof. Let

$$F^s z^n(X, *) = \operatorname*{colim}_{\substack{Z\subset X \\ \mathrm{codim}_X Z \ge s}} \mathrm{im}\left(z^{n-s}(Z, *) \to z^n(X, *)\right),$$

be the subcomplex of $z^n(X, *)$ generated by cycles whose projection to X has codimension at least s. Taking the colimit of the localization sequence ([Ge,

p.779, Theorem 3.2 (a)]) over pairs $Z' \subset Z$ with Z of codimension s and Z' of codimension $s+1$, we get quasi-isomorphisms

$$gr^s z^n(X,*) = F^s z^n(X,*)/F^{s+1} z^n(X,*) \simeq \operatorname*{colim}_{(Z,Z')} z^{n-s}(Z-Z',*) \xrightarrow[\text{res}]{\simeq} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(s)}} z^{n-s}(\kappa(x),*).$$

Here the right hand map send a cycle on a subscheme to its restriction to the generic points ([Ge, p.782]). Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{Zar}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \\ &= \mathrm{Coker}\left(\bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} \mathrm{H}^n_{Zar}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^{n-1}_{Zar}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}(n-1)) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

by the exact sequence

$$0 \to F^1 z^n(X,*) \to z^n(X,*) \to gr^0 z^n(X,*) \to 0.$$

Moreover

$$\mathrm{Coker}\left(F^1 z^n(\mathfrak{X},*) \to F^1 z^n(X,*)\right) \simeq \mathrm{Coker}\left(z^n(\mathfrak{X},*) \to z^n(X,*)\right) \tag{14}$$

by applying snake lemma to a commutative diagram with two exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & F^1 z^n(\mathfrak{X},*) & \longrightarrow & z^n(\mathfrak{X},*) & \longrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{x \in \mathfrak{X}^0} z^n(\kappa(x),*) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F^1 z^n(X,*) & \longrightarrow & z^n(X,*) & \longrightarrow & \displaystyle\bigoplus_{x \in X^0} z^n(\kappa(x),*). & & \end{array}$$

Hence we have a distinguish triangle

$$0 \to z^{n-1}(Z,*) \to F^1 z^n(\mathfrak{X},*) \to F^1 z^n(X,*) \tag{15}$$

by [L1, Theorem 1.7]. Let $x \in X^{(1)}$ and $Z = \bar{\{x\}}$. Let $\tilde{Z} \to Z$ be the normalization of Z. Then we have the map of distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} z^{n-2}\left(\tilde{Z} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} k, *\right) & \longrightarrow & z^{n-1}(\tilde{Z},*) & \longrightarrow & z^{n-1}\left(\tilde{Z} \times_{\mathcal{O}_k} K, *\right) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ z^{n-1}(Y,*) & \longrightarrow & F^1 z^n(\mathfrak{X},*) & \longrightarrow & F^1 z^n(X,*) \end{array}$$

by [F, Proposition 1.7]. Therefore the morphism (12) is induced by the morphism $\displaystyle\bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} \mathrm{K}^n_M(\kappa(x)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in Y^{(1)}} \mathrm{K}^{n-1}_M(\kappa(x))$ which is composite of the symbol map and the norm map by [Ge-L, Lemma 3.2] and [Su-V, Lemma 3.4.4].

For a point $y \in Y_0$, there exists $x \in X_0$ such that the residue field $\kappa(x)$ is the quotient field of a Henselian discrete valuation ring $\{\bar{x}\} \subset \mathfrak{X}$ with the residue field $\kappa(y)$ (c.f, [Sa, p.262, The proof of Lemma (3.11) (2)]). Therefore the statement follows. □

Corollary 1. Let notations be same as Proposition 4.7. Then

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{Zar}\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) = 0.$$

Proof. The statement follows from Proposition 4.7 and [Ge, p.780, Corollary 3.3 a)]. □

Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be same as Proposition 4.7. Then Problem 1 (iii) for $\mathfrak{X}$ is true.

Proof. The sequence

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \to \mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(\mathfrak{X}, \tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)\right) \to \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}\left(Y, \mathbb{Z}(n-1)\right)$$

is exact and

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}\left(\mathfrak{X}, \tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbf{R}j_*\mathbb{Z}(n)\right) = \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\mathrm{H}^{n+2}_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to \prod_{x \in \mathfrak{X}^{(0)}} \mathrm{H}_{et}(k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},\bar{x}}), \mathbb{Z}(n))\Big)$$

Moreover the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}\left(Y, \mathbb{Z}(n-1)\right) \to \bigoplus_{x \in Y_{(0)}} \mathrm{H}^{n+1}_{et}\left(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}(n-1)\right)$$

is an injective. Therefore the statement follows.

□

Corollary 2. Let notations be same as above. Then we have

$$\mathrm{H}^n_B(\mathfrak{X}) = \bigcap_{x \in \mathfrak{X}^{(0)}} \mathrm{H}^n_B(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},x}). \tag{16}$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is an equi-characteristic and an excellent ring. Suppose that $\mathfrak{X}$ is smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathcal{O}_K$. Then the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^n_B(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathrm{Ker}\Big(\mathrm{H}^n_B(k(\mathfrak{X})) \to \mathrm{H}^n_B(k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},\bar{x}}))\Big) \to \bigoplus_{x \in \mathfrak{X}_{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^{n-1}_B(\kappa(x)) \tag{17}$$

is exact.

Proof. The equation (16) follows from Proposition 4.5 and Theorem 4.2. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is smooth over an equi-characteristic excellent Henselian discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_K$ then the exact sequence (17) follows from (16), [P, Theorem C] and Theorem 4.1. □

Under the above condition we generalize Artin's theorem ([Gr, p.98, Theorem (3.1)]) as follows.

PROPOSITION 4.8. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be an equi-characteristic excellent Henselian discrete valuation ring. Let $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ be a smooth and proper morphism. Let Y be the closed fiber of π. Suppose that $\mathfrak{X}$ is a connected and $\dim(\mathfrak{X}) = 2$.

Then we have the morphism

$$\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathrm{B}}(Y) \tag{18}$$

and the morphism (18) is a surjective.

Moreover, if the morphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{n-1}_{Zar}(A, \mathbb{Z}(n-1)) \to \mathrm{H}^{n-1}_{Zar}(A/\mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{Z}(n-1)) \tag{19}$$

is a surjective for an equi-characteristic (Henselian) discrete valuation ring $(A, \mathfrak{m})$,

then the morphism (18) is an injective.

Proof. The morphism (18) is defined by [L2, Remark 2.9] and [L2, Theorem 10.2].

Now we consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{H}^n_{et}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{Z}(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^n_{et}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{Z}/l(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^n_B(\mathfrak{X})_l & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\mathrm{H}^n_{et}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^n_{et}(Y, \mathbb{Z}/l(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^n_B(Y)_l & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Then the middle vertical morphism in this diagram is bijective by [M, p.224, Corollary 2.7]. Hence the morphism (18) is a surjective.

To prove that the morphism (18) is an injective, it is sufficient to show that

$$\mathrm{H}^n_{Zar}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{Z}(n-1)) \to \mathrm{H}^n_{Zar}(Y, \mathbb{Z}(n-1))$$

is a surjective. For any $y \in Y^0$, there exists $x \in X^0$ such that $\{\bar{x}\}$ is a discrete valuation ring and $y \in \{\bar{x}\}$.

Moreover the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
z^{n-1}_{\{\bar{y}\}}(\{\bar{x}\}, *) & \longrightarrow & z^n_Y(\mathfrak{X}, *) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
z^{n-1}(\{\bar{y}\}, *) & \longrightarrow & z^n(Y, *)
\end{array}$$

is commutative. Therefore, if the morphism (19) is a surjective, the morphism (18) is an injective by (13). □

Remark 7. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be an excellent Henselian discrete valuation ring. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a regular proper curve over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is an equi-dimensional complete discrete valuation and $\mathcal{X}$ is projective over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K$. Then the local-global map

$$\mathrm{H}^n_B(k(\mathfrak{X}))_l \to \prod_{x\in\mathfrak{X}_{(1)}} \mathrm{H}^n_B(k(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{X},x}))_l \tag{20}$$

is an injective for $n > 1$ and l which is prime to $\mathrm{char}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ by [H-H-K, Theorem 3.3.6].

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is an equi-characteristic and $\mathfrak{X}$ is a smooth over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K$. Then the local-global map (20) is an injective for $n \geq 1$ and any positive integer l if the morphism (19) is a surjective by Corollary 2 and Proposition 4.8.

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a mixed-characteristic complete discrete valuation ring and $\mathfrak{X}$ is projective over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{O}_K$. The the local-global map (20) is an injective for $n > 1$ and l which is prime to $\mathrm{char}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ if the first map in the exact sequence (10) is an injective by [H-H-K, Remark 3.3.7].

References

[F] W.Fulton, Intersection Theory, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[Ga] K.Fujiwara, A proof of the absolute purity conjecture (after Gabber), Algebraic geometry 2000, Azumino (Hotaka), 153-183, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 36, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002.

[Ge] T.Geisser, Motivic cohomology over Dedekind rings, Math. Z. 248 (2004), no. 4, 773-794.

[Gr] A.Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer III, Exemples et complements. (French) Dix exposes sur la cohomologie des schemas, 88-188, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.

[Ge-L] T.Geisser and M.Levine, The p part of K-theory of fields in characteristic p, Invent. Math. 139 (2000), no. 3, 459-493.

[H-H-K] D.Harbater, J.Hartmann and D.Krashen, Local-global principles for Galois cohomology, Comment. Math. Helv. 89 (2014), no. 1, 215-253.

[K] M.Kerz, Milnor K-theory of local rings, Thesis.

[L1] M.Levine, Techniques of localization in the theory of algebraic cycles, J. Algebraic Geom. 10 (2001), no. 2, 299-363.

[L2] M.Levine, K-theory and motivic cohomology of schemes,I, Preprint.

[M] J.S.Milne, Etale Cohomology, Princeton Math. Ser. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.

[P] I.Panin, The equi-characteristic case of the Gersten conjecture, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 241 (2003), Teor. Chisel, Algebra i Algebr. Geom., 169–178; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2003, no. 2 (241), 154–163.

[Sa] Shuji Saito, Unramified class field theory of arithmetical scheme, Ann. of Math. (2) 121 (1985), no. 2, 251–281.

[Sh] Atsushi Shiho, On logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology of regular schemes, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 14 (2007), no. 4, 567–635.

[Su-V] A.Suslin and V.Voevodsky, Bloch-Kato conjecture and Motivic cohomology with finite coefficients, The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), 117–189, NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 548, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.

[V1] V.Voevodsky, Motivic cohomology groups are isomorphic to higher Chow groups in any characteristic, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2002, no. 7, 351–355.

[V2] V.Voevodsky, On motivic cohomology with $\mathbf{Z}/l$-coefficients, Ann. of Math. (2) 174 (2011), no. 1, 401–438.